

The Florida
Board of Psychology

Minutes

APRIL 21, 2017
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY
GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING

CROWNE PLAZA JACKSONVILLE AIRPORT
14670 DUVAL ROAD
JACKSONVILLE, FL 32218



Dr. J. Drake Miller
Chair

Dr. Andrew Rubin
Vice Chair

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY
GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING
APRIL 21, 2017
MINUTES
*Draft***

**CROWNE PLAZA JACKSONVILLE AIRPORT
14670 DUVAL ROAD
JACKSONVILLE, FL 32218**

To accommodate individuals wishing to address the board, the board Chair may adjust the sequence of the agenda items. The minutes reflect the actual sequence of events rather than the original agenda order.

Call to order - General Business Meeting

GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING

Dr. J. Drake Miller, Chair, called the general business meeting to order at approximately 8:00 a.m. Those present for all or part of the meeting, included the following:

MEMBERS PRESENT

Dr. J. Drake Miller, Psy.D, Chair
Dr. Andrew Rubin, Ph.D., Vice Chair
Dr. Dean Aufderheide, Ph.D.
Dr. Catherine Drew, Ph.D.

STAFF PRESENT

Allen Hall, Executive Director
Anna L. Hart King, Program Operations Administrator

MEMBERS ABSENT

Dr. Randi Mackintosh, Psy.D - Excused
Ms. Mary (Denny) O'Brien, J.D. - Excused

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

Diane Guillemette, Esq.

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL

Lealand McCharen, Esquire

COURT REPORTER

Precision Reporting
Naomi McCracken
904-629-5310

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS

RECOMMENDED ORDER

1. Rebecca Curtis DOAH Case # 16-006167

Dr. Curtis was not present, but represented by Mr. Edwin Bayo, Esquire. Mr. Lealand McCharen, Assistant General Counsel, served as legal advisor to the Board during this proceeding. Ms. Diane Guillemette, Assistant Attorney General, served as advocate.

Dr. Curtis' application was initially denied during the September 9, 2016 Credentials Committee. Dr. Curtis applied for licensure under the Endorsement of 20 Years of Licensed Psychology Experience method, however, her doctoral psychology program completed at the Teachers

Minutes

College, Columbia University, New York City in 1973, did not hold programmatic accreditation by the American Psychological Association (APA). Although Dr. Curtis went on to complete studies in Clinical Psychology at the APA-accredited Adelphi University in 1988, the transcript indicates it was a non-degree program. After Dr. Curtis' application was denied by the Board, she filed for a hearing at the Division of Administrative Hearings (DOAH), which took place on February 1, 2017.

Provided for the Board's review and action was the March 10, 2017 Amended Recommended Order filed by the DOAH administrative law judge in this case, Respondent's Exceptions, Petitioner's Response, case documents and the original application file. The Board discussed and acted on each of Respondent's exceptions, as follows below.

- **Respondent's Exception 1:** Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to reject this exception. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- **Respondent's Exception 2:** Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to reject this exception. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- **Respondent's Exception 3:** Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to reject this exception. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- **Respondent's Exception 4:** Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to reject this exception. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- **Respondent's Exception 5:** Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to reject this exception. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- **Respondent's Exception 6:** Following discussion, Dr. Catherine Drew moved to reject this exception. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- **Respondent's Exception 7:** Following discussion, Dr. Andrew Rubin moved to reject this exception. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- **Respondent's Exception 8:** Following discussion, Dr. Catherine Drew moved to reject this exception. Dr. Dean Aufderheide seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- **Respondent's Exception 9:** Following discussion, Dr. Drake Miller moved to reject this exception. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- **Respondent's Exception 10:** Following discussion, Dr. Catherine Drew moved to reject this exception. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 3/1. Dr. Dean Aufderheide opposed.
- **Respondent's Exception 11:** Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to reject this exception. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- **Respondent's Exception 12:** Following discussion, Dr. Andrew Rubin moved to reject this exception. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- **Respondent's Exception 13:** Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to reject this exception. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- **Respondent's Exception 14:** Following discussion, Dr. Catherine Drew moved to reject this exception. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Minutes

- **Respondent's Exception 15:** Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to reject this exception. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Following discussion, the Board took the following actions regarding the recommended order.

Dr. Catherine Drew moved to accept the Findings of Fact in the Amended Recommended Order. Dr. Dean Aufderheide seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Dr. Catherine Drew moved to accept the Conclusions of Law in the Amended Recommended Order. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Dr. Catherine Drew moved to accept the Amended Recommended Order. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

HEARING NOT INVOLVING DISPUTED ISSUES OF MATERIAL FACTS

2. Daniela Jaramillo

Dr. Jaramillo was present and sworn in. She was not represented by counsel.

Dr. Jaramillo was before the January 20, 2017 General Business meeting for review of her application under the Endorsement of Other State License method. The Board denied her application due to the Wisconsin regulations not being as stringent as Florida's regulations. Dr. Jaramillo has since requested a hearing disputing issues of material fact.

Provided for the Board's review was the request for hearing as well as all materials previously reviewed.

Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved that no material facts were in dispute. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to allow Dr. Jaramillo to withdraw her application. Dr. Dean Aufderheide seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to vacate the Notice of Intent to Deny based on Dr. Jaramillo's withdrawal of the application. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Licensed Mental Health Counselor, Mr. Andy Gualar, addressed the Board to provide information on the ABPP Diplomate process that might be helpful in the event Dr. Jaramillo decided to pursue that certification for purposes of Florida psychologist licensure in the future.

REVIEW OF PSYCHOLOGIST LICENSURE APPLICATIONS

3. Gaddiel Nieves Examination

Dr. Nieves was present and sworn in. He was not represented by counsel.

Dr. Nieves applied for licensure under the Examination method. His supervisor answered "no" to question 11 of the Supervising Psychologist Verification form indicating as the primary supervisor, he did not determine that the applicant was capable of providing competent and safe psychological service to each client.

Provided for the Board's review was the application, transcripts, supervision forms as well as a statement from the supervisor.

Minutes

Following discussion, Dr. Nieves waived the 90-day requirement for Board action on his completed application to allow time to provide additional documentation of supervised experience at the next Board meeting. Dr. Dean Aufderheide seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

4. Ailyn Penate Examination

Dr. Penate was present and sworn in. She was not represented by counsel.

Dr. Penate applied for licensure under the Examination method. She answered "yes" to question #24 on Page 9 of the application indicating she had a license revoked, suspended, or in any way acted against (e.g., reprimand, administrative fine, probation, etc.) in any state, US territory or foreign country.

Provided for the Board's review was the application, transcripts, supervision forms and discipline documents.

Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to approve the application. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

5. Wendy Eisenberg Endorsement of Other State License (CT 1991)

Dr. Eisenberg was not present. She was represented by Mr. Stuart Christmas, Esq.

Dr. Eisenberg applied for licensure under the Endorsement of Other State License method. She answered "yes" to question #24 of the application indicating she had her licensed revoked, suspended or in any way acted against in any state, US territory or foreign country.

Provided for the Board's review was the application and regulations in effect in Connecticut at the time the applicant was licensed in that state, as well as the applicable regulations in effect in Florida at that same time. Also provided were the discipline documents from Connecticut.

Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to approve the application based on the laws of Connecticut in 1991 being equivalent to Florida's laws at that same time. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

6. Christopher Van Kleeck Endorsement of 20 Years of Licensed Psychology Experience and Endorsement of Other State License (MA 1988)

Dr. Van Kleeck was present and sworn in. He was not represented by counsel.

Dr. Van Kleeck applied for licensure under the Endorsement of 20 Years of Licensed Psychology Experience. His doctorate degree was received on June 14, 1987, however, the clinical program was not accredited by APA until November 10, 1987. He also answered "yes" to question #24 on page 9 of the application indicating that he has had his license revoked, suspended, or in any way acted against (e.g., reprimand, administrative fine, probation, etc.) in any state, US territory or foreign country.

Dr. Van Kleeck also requested the Board consider his application under the Endorsement of Other State License method if it was moving toward denial under the 20 Year Endorsement method.

Provided for the Board's review was the application, transcripts, discipline documents, the 1988 Massachusetts regulations as well as the regulations of Florida that were in effect at that same time.

Following discussion, Dr. Van Kleeck requested to withdraw his application due to the Board moving toward denial under both application methods. Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to accept the withdrawal. Dr. Dean Aufderheide seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

At this point in the meeting, Tabs 32 and 33 were taken out of order.

ADDENDUM

REVIEW OF PSYCHOLOGIST LICENSURE APPLICATIONS

32. Emily King Exam with Waiver

Dr. King was present and sworn in. She was not represented by counsel.

Dr. King applied for licensure under the Exam with Waiver method. She answered "yes" to question #23 on page 9 of the application indicating she has been denied licensure to practice psychology or any health-related profession in any licensing jurisdiction, including Florida, or been granted such under restrictions (e.g., probation, other obligations imposed, etc.) of any kind.

Provided for the Board's review was the application, transcripts, supervision forms as well as Ohio discipline documents.

Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to allow Dr. King to waive the 90-day requirement for Board action on her completed application to allow time for her to obtain requested information regarding her Ohio discipline and to have her post-doctoral supervisor respond to any unanswered questions on the Supervising Psychologist Verification Form. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

PROVISIONAL PSYCHOLOGIST – REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF NEW SUPERVISOR

33. Saba Adhal

Dr. Adhal was not present nor represented by counsel.

Dr. Adhal informed the Board office on 04/11/2017 that she will no longer be supervised by Dr. Joseph Lupo. She has proposed Dr. Diane Vendryes as the new supervisor. Dr. Vendryes submitted documentation indicating her agreement to supervise Dr. Adhal

Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to approve Dr. Vendryes as the new provisional license supervisor. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

STATUS OF NOTICE OF INTENT TO APPROVE

7. Eileen Diaz Examination

Dr. Diaz was not present nor represented by counsel.

Dr. Diaz was before the January 20, 2017 General Business Meeting for review of her application and petition for variance or waiver of Rule 64B19-11.005, F.A.C, Supervised Experience Requirements. Dr. Diaz only received 725.5 hours of direct client contact hours and she petitioned to Board to consider the direct client contact hours she received as a provisional psychologist as meeting the rule requirement.

Minutes

The Board denied the petition, however, her application was approved contingent upon her providing documentation of her direct client contact hours within 90 days of the filing of the Notice of Intent to Approve Licensure with Conditions. Dr. Diaz has been unable to document her hours as a provisional licensee due to the practice closing. She submitted a written request asking the Board to reconsider her application. She requested to withdraw her application if the Board was moving toward denial.

Provided for the Board's review was the written request for reconsideration as well as the application, supervision forms and transcripts.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to allow Dr. Diaz to withdraw her application and to vacate the prior Notice of Intent to Approve with Conditions. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

APPLICANTS FROM APA ACCREDITED PROGRAMS FOR EXAMINATION AND LICENSURE AS NOTED

8. List of Applicants

Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to approve the list of applicants for examination and licensure as noted. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to approve the list of applicants under the Bifurcation method for examination and licensure as noted. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to approve the list of applicants for examination and licensure under the Endorsement of 20 Years of Licensed Psychology Experience method as noted. Dr. Dean Aufderheide seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to approve the list of applicants under the Endorsement of ABPP Diplomate Status method for examination and licensure as noted. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to approve the list of applicants under the Endorsement of Other State License method for examination and licensure as noted. Dr. Dean Aufderheide seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

CONTINUING EDUCATION RATIFICATION LIST

9. List of Applicants

Following discussion, Dr. Catherine Drew moved to approve the list of applicants of continuing education providers and courses as noted. Dr. Dean Aufderheide seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

APPLICANT REQUESTS FOR EXTENSION PURSUANT TO §490.005(3)(b), F.S.

10. Bosco Lorio

Dr. Lorio was not present nor represented by counsel.

Dr. Lorio requested an extension of time allowed to complete the requirements for licensure.

Minutes

Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to approve the extension request for an additional twelve (12) months. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

11. Tania Marrero

Dr. Marrero was not present nor represented by counsel.

Dr. Marrero requested an extension of time allowed to complete the requirements for licensure.

Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to approve the extension request for an additional twelve (12) months. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

12. Michele Pergadia

Dr. Pergadia was not present nor represented by counsel.

Dr. Pergadia requested an extension of time allowed to complete the requirements for licensure.

Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to approve the extension request for an additional twelve (12) months. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

13. Johand Rodriguez-Fernandez

Dr. Rodriguez-Fernandez was present and sworn in. He was not represented by counsel.

Dr. Rodriguez-Fernandez requested an extension of time allowed to complete the requirements for licensure.

Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to approve the extension request for an additional twelve (12) months. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

FILE CLOSURE APPLICANTS FOR DENIAL PURSUANT TO §490.005(3)(b), F.S.

14. List of Applicants

Dr. Bosco Lorio and Dr. Rodriguez-Fernandez were both removed from the File Closure list due to the granting of extensions, therefore no action was required.

At this point in the meeting, the Board broke for lunch at 11:43 a.m. and resumed at 1:00 p.m.

REPORT OF ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL- Diane Guillemette, Esq

15. Rule Status Report

- Rule 64B19-11.005 F.A.C., Supervised Experience Requirements

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date Rule Language Approved by Board	Date Sent to OFARR	Rule Development Published	Notice Published	Adopted	Effective
64B19-11.005	Supervised Experience Requirements		09/28/2016 11/30/2016	10/07/2016	12/12/2016 2/2/2017 Notice of No Change to JAPC	02/09/2017	03/01/2017

Minutes

- Rule 64B19-13.004 F.A.C., Board Approval of Continuing Psychological Education Providers

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date Rule Language Approved by Board	Date Sent to OFARR	Rule Development Published	Notice Published	Adopted	Effective
64B19-13.004	Board Approval of Continuing Psychological Education Providers	07/22/2016	02/21/2017	03/02/2017			

- Rule 64B19-17.002 F.A.C., Disciplinary Guidelines

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date Rule Language Approved by Board	Date Sent to OFARR	Rule Development Published	Notice Published	Adopted	Effective
64B19-17.002	Disciplinary Guidelines	01/20/2017	02/21/2017	03/02/2017			

PROSECUTORS REPORT

16. Carrie McNamara, Esq.

Total cases open/active in PSU:	23
Cases under legal review:	11
Cases where probable cause recommendation made:	3
Cases where probable cause found/waived:	1
Cases in holding status:	0
Cases awaiting supplemental investigation:	3
Cases undergoing expert review:	5
Cases pending before DOAH:	0
Cases on agenda for current/future board meeting:	0
Cases older than one year:	7
2014:	2
2015:	3
2016:	2
Change in total 1yr+ since last report:	no change
Total Unlicensed Activity (ULA) Cases:	7
Under legal review:	6
Citation and/or Notice to Cease and Desist issued:	1
Formal hearing requested:	0
Change in total since last report:	-1 cases (-12.5%)

Range of available fines for ULA cases
(Board requested this information at a
past meeting): \$500 to \$5,000 per incident

NEW BUSINESS

17. Discussion: Pro-Bono Request from Licensee

Licensed psychologist, Dr. Terrie Andrews, requested the Board discuss including pro-bono work in lieu of up to 25% of the continuing education requirement for renewal, as allowed in Section 456.013(9), F.S.

Provided for the Board's review was the written request for the discussion, Section 456.013(9), F.S., as well as Rule 64B19-13.003, F.A.C.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to open Rule 64B19-13.003, F.A.C., Continuing Education Requirements for development. Dr. Dean Aufderheide seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

18. Designation of Minor Violations

In the 2016 Legislative Session, HB 183 was passed. One of the provisions of this legislation was an amendment to section 120.695, F.S., related to the designation of minor violations. The legislation provided in part:

(b) Each agency shall review all of its rules and designate those for which a violation would be a minor violation and for which a notice of noncompliance must be the first enforcement action taken against a person or business subject to regulation. A violation of a rule is a minor violation if it does not result in economic or physical harm to a person or adversely affect the public health, safety, or welfare or create a significant threat of such harm.

(c)1. No later than June 30, 2017, and after such date within 3 months after any request of the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor, each agency shall review its rules and certify to the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the committee, and the rules ombudsman those rules that have been designated as rules the violation of which would be a minor violation.

In order to comply with the June 30, 2017 deadline, the Board was asked to review its rules and determine if any additional violations should be noted as a minor violation. Following discussion, the Board did not propose any changes to its existing Rule 64B19-17.0035, F.A.C., Minor Misconduct; Notices of Noncompliance.

19. Voting Conflict

Form 8A, Memorandum of Voting Conflict for State Officers, is to be completed by any person serving at the State level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, committee, or as a member of the Legislature. It applies to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes. The form is required in instances where a Board member is recused due to a financial conflict. Any questions regarding whether use of the form is necessary in a particular scenario may be addressed to the Board's attorney.

20. Unlicensed Activity Outreach

The Division of Medical Quality Assurance is looking for opportunities to improve communication on Unlicensed Activity. The Board did not have any current suggestions regarding outreach activities.

21. Legislative Update-SB 582

Dr. Aufderheide requested SB 582 be placed on the agenda. This bill provides for department review of board actions. It also provides for the defense of Board members in antitrust actions. The House version is HB 1193. Ms. Guillemette reminded that part of her role as the Board's legal advisor is to review and advise regarding any potential actions that might be considered anti-competitive. In addition, there is an anti-trust section of the Attorney General's office available to assist with reviewing any potential concerns.

22. Accepting Electronic and Banked Education Transcripts for Psychology Licensure

Applicants for psychologist licensure have inquired with the Board office regarding non-traditional means of submitting doctoral level psychology transcripts. The requests have included electronic submission through the *National Student Clearinghouse* as well as from the *Association of State and Provincial Psychology Board's (ASPPB) Mobility Program*.

Neither Florida law nor rule requires submission of an "official" transcript, however, Board Rule 64B19-11.0035(1), F.A.C., requires "... a true copy of the applicant's transcript confirming same and sent directly to the Board from an institution of higher learning..." Paragraph 2 of the rule further requires that the transcripts come from the applicable APA-accredited university.

The Division of Medical Quality Assurance is continuously looking for viable ways to reduce the time it takes to license applicants, while at the same time ensuring qualifications are adequately verified. The Board is asked to consider whether it would like to modernize its rule to allow for acceptance of transcripts through trusted electronic sources and credentials banks. Allowing for these alternatives will reduce application processing time by providing opportunities for faster transmittal of a required supporting document.

Provided for the Board's consideration was information regarding the National Student Clearinghouse as well as some of the psychology organizations which allow applicants and licensees to bank their psychology credentials. The applicable law and rule were also enclosed for reference.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to open Rule 64B19-11.0035, F.A.C., Licensure by Examination: Proof Satisfactory to the board for the Purpose of Determining Eligibility for Examination for development. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

23. Proposed 2018 Meeting Dates

The following dates were presented for the Board's consideration. The selections have been made in coordination with the other six professions in the Medical Therapies/Psychology Board Office to avoid meeting conflicts. The Board may wish to specify four to six city preferences.

General Business Meetings:

January 19, 2018
April 20, 2018
July 20, 2018
October 19, 2018

Probable Cause Panel Meetings:

January 23, 2018
March 20, 2018
May 22, 2018
July 17, 2018
September 18, 2018
November 13, 2018

Following discussion, the Board accepted the proposed dates and suggested Orlando, Tampa, Tallahassee, Pensacola, and Fort Lauderdale as potential locations.

OLD BUSINESS

24. January 20, 2017 General Business Meeting Minutes

Following discussion, Dr. Catherine Drew moved to accept the minutes. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

25. Texas Sunset Review

During the January 20, 2017 General Business Meeting, the Board requested review of the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists' 2016-2017 Sunset Advisory Commission Staff Report for purposes of discussing potential changes to the Florida Board's post-doctoral supervision requirements. The Board questioned whether there have been any problems in the fifteen (15) states that no longer require post-doctoral supervision.

The Florida Psychological Association (FPA) is in the process of gathering information regarding any problems the states may be experiencing, or, if they are satisfied applicants are receiving adequate experience for licensure. The FPA has indicated it will provide a summary of findings for the Board in an addendum prior to the meeting.

Provided for the Board's review was an excerpt of the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists Staff Report regarding this issue, along with the experience requirements of the fifteen (15) states that no longer require post-doctoral supervision.

Following discussion, the Board determined it would like to continue to monitor this issue.

REPORTS, IF ANY

26. J. Drake Miller, PsyD.

Other Board Members:

Dr. Andrew Rubin

- o Healthiest Weight Updates, if any

Informational Item

27. Executive Director

Report topics

- o Cash Balance Reports
- o Expenditures by Function Report

Informational Item

OTHER BUSINESS AND INFORMATION

28. Summit on Master's Training in Psychological Practice Proceedings

The American Psychological Association (APA) held a *Summit on Master's Training in Psychological Practice on December 2-4, 2016*, at the APA Headquarters located at 750 First Street, NE in Washington, DC. The purpose was to explore whether the APA should embrace the training of psychological practitioners at the master's level. Discussions primarily centered on identifying key considerations of this issue, potential solutions and their impacts, areas of consensus, and concerns. Sponsored by the APA Minority Fellowship Program (MFP) and developed in conjunction with the APA Board of Director's subgroup on master's training and APA executive staff (i.e., the Master's Summit Planning Group), funding was also made possible (in part) by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA).

Provided for the Board's information was the APA's report on the proceedings of the summit.

29. ASPPB Disciplinary Data Report

Informational Item

30. ASPPB Meeting Minutes

Informational Item

31. Psychology Staff Recognition

Informational Item

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 1:43 p.m.