

The Florida

**Board of Psychology**

**Draft Minutes**

**JULY 22, 2016**

**BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY  
GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING**

**ST. PETERSBURG MARRIOTT CLEARWATER  
12600 ROOSEVELT BOULEVARD  
ST. PETERSBURG, FL 33716  
(727) 572-7800**



**Dr. Dean Aufderheide, Ph.D**  
Chair  
**Dr. J. Drake Miller**  
Vice Chair

# Minutes

---

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY  
GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING  
JULY 22, 2016  
MINUTES  
(Draft)**

**ST. PETERSBURG MARRIOTT CLEARWATER  
12600 ROOSEVELT BOULEVARD  
ST. PETERSBURG, FL 33716  
(727) 572-7800**

**To accommodate individuals wishing to address the board, the board Chair may adjust the sequence of the agenda items. The minutes reflect the actual sequence of events rather than the original agenda order.**

## **CREDENTIALS COMMITTEE MEETING**

### **MEMBERS PRESENT**

Dr. J. Drake Miller, Psy.D  
Ms. Mary O'Brien, J.D.  
Dr. Randi Mackintosh, Psy.D  
Dr. Catherine Drew, Ph.D

### **STAFF PRESENT**

Allen Hall, Executive Director  
Anna L. Hart King, Program Operations Administrator

### **ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL**

Rachel Clark, Esq.

**Dr. J. Drake Miller, called the Credentials Committee to order at approximately 8:04 a.m.**

**(The applicants were not present or represented at the committee meeting unless otherwise noted.)**

**Tab 1.           John Houck                   Endorsement of Other State License (IL 1976)**

Dr. Houck was present and sworn in. He was not represented by counsel.

Dr. Houck has applied for licensure under the Endorsement of Other State License method. Provided for the Board's review was the application and the regulations in effect in Illinois at the time the applicant was licensed in that state, as well as the applicable regulations in effect in Florida at that same time.

Following discussion, Dr. Randi Mackintosh moved to deny the application based on the committees determination that the education requirements in the 1976 Illinois regulations were not equivalent to Florida's requirements at that same time. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to allow Dr. Houck the opportunity to withdraw his application within fourteen (14) days of the filing of the final order. Dr. Randi Mackintosh seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Dr. Houck has requested to withdraw his application.

# Minutes

---

**Tab 2.** Theophilus Green Limited License

Dr. Green has waived the 90 day requirement for Board action on his completed application and has requested to be removed from this agenda and placed on the September 9, 2016 Board Quorum Conference Call agenda.

**Tab 3.** Phasing Out of APA Accreditation of Canadian Programs

During the October 2015 meeting, the Board reviewed information regarding the American Psychological Association (APA) discontinuing the practice of accrediting Canadian doctoral-level psychology programs as of September 2015.

Based on staff's review of current licensure regulations, it appeared that application under the *Endorsement of American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP) Diplomate* would be the only licensure pathway available for those educated in Canada. Given this information, a member indicated that due to the rigorous requirements to obtain ABPP certification, the Board might want to consider further discussion to address this issue.

Provided was information previously reviewed and discussed by the Board, as well as proposed statutory changes that would allow Canadians to apply under Section 490.005(1)(b)2. F.S., as non-U.S. trained applicants.

Dr. J. Drake Miller requested this item be placed on the October 7, 2016 General Business Meeting agenda for further discussion.

## ADDENDUM

**Tab 4.** Edward Suarez Endorsement of Other State License (HI 2004)

Dr. Suarez was not present, nor represented by counsel.

Dr. Suarez has applied for licensure under the Endorsement of Other State License method. Provided for the Board's review was the application, license verification and the regulations that were in effect in Hawaii at the time the applicant was licensed, as well as the applicable regulations in effect in Florida during that time.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to approve the application based on the laws of Hawaii in 2004 being equivalent to Florida's laws at that same time. Dr. Randi Mackintosh seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

**Tab 5.** Elliot Gore Endorsement of Other State License (NJ 1982)

Dr. Gore was not present, nor represented by counsel.

Dr. Gore has applied for licensure under the Endorsement of Other State License method. Provided for the Board's review was the application, license verification and the regulations that were in effect in New Jersey at the time the applicant was licensed, as well as the applicable regulations in effect in Florida during that time.

Following discussion, Ms. Mary O'Brien moved to deny the application based on the committees determination that the education and supervision requirements in the 1982 New Jersey regulations

# Minutes

---

were not equivalent to Florida's requirements at that same time. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to add the option to withdraw application within fourteen (14) days of the filing of the Notice of Intent to Deny. Ms. Mary O'Brien seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

The Credentials Committee adjourned at 8:27 a.m.

## **Call to order - General Business Meeting**

### **GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING**

**Dr. Dean Aufderheide, Chair, called the general business meeting to order at approximately 9:01 a.m. Those present for all or part of the meeting, included the following:**

#### **MEMBERS PRESENT**

Dean Aufderheide, Ph.D., Chair  
Dr. J. Drake Miller, Psy.D, Vice Chair  
Mary D. O'Brien, J.D  
Andrew Rubin, Ph.D.  
Dr. Randi Mackintosh, Psy.D  
Dr. Catherine Drew, Ph.D

#### **STAFF PRESENT**

Allen Hall, Executive Director  
Anna L. Hart King, Program Operations Administrator

#### **ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL**

Rachel Clark, Esq.

#### **ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL**

Carrie McNamara, Esq.

#### **COURT REPORTER**

Esquire Court Reporting  
Janet Hall  
813-221-2535

### **DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS**

#### **SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

**Tab 1.** Colleen Character, Case # 2014-14385 (pcp, Dr. Harry Reiff, Dr. Luis Orta, Dr. Andrew Rubin)

Dr. Character was not present. She was represented by Yvens Pierre-Antoine, Esq. Edward C. Castagna, Jr., Esq. represented the complainant.

Dr. Andrew Rubin recused himself due to his participation on the Probable Cause Panel.

Dr. Character violated Section 490.009(1)(r) by failing to meet the minimum standards of performance in professional activities when measured against generally prevailing peer performance.

Edward C. Castagna, Jr., Esq. filed a motion to intervene on behalf of the complainant. Ms. Rachel

# Minutes

---

Clark has indicated there is no method for someone to intervene in an administrative disciplinary hearing. Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to deny the motion to intervene. Ms. Mary O'Brien seconded the motion, which carried 5/0.

The Settlement Agreement included the following terms:

- Reprimand of license
- Fine of \$1,000.00 to be paid within ninety (90) days of the Final Order
- Costs of \$6,093.70 to be paid within six (6) months of the Final Order
- Completion of 6 hours of continuing education in addition to the required continuing education for renewal.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to approve the Settlement Agreement. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 5/0.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to allow the continuing education to be completed online by courses approved by the American Psychological Association. Dr. Randi Mackintosh seconded the motion, which carried 5/0.

**Discipline Items Ending Time: 9:30 a.m.**

## **PROSECUTORS REPORT**

**Tab 2.** Carrie McNamara, Esq.

Total cases open/active in PSU: 19

Total cases open/active in PSU:	17
Cases in EAU:	0
Cases under legal review:	14
Cases where probable cause recommendation made:	0
Cases where probable cause found/waived:	3
Cases in holding status:	0
Cases awaiting supplemental investigation:	1
Cases undergoing expert review:	0
Cases pending before DOAH:	0
Cases on agenda for current/future board meeting:	1
Cases older than one year:	8
2014:	3
2015:	5

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to continue forward with the cases older than a year. Dr. Andrew Ruben seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

# Minutes

---

## **ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS**

### **MOTION FOR FINAL ORDER AFTER HEARING INVOLVING NO DISPUTED ISSUES OF MATERIAL FACT**

**Tab 3.** Matthew Fearrington

Dr. Fearrington was not present, nor represented by counsel.

Dr. Fearrington was before the March 18, 2016 Board Quorum Meeting. His application and supervision forms indicated that he only received 1900 hours of post-doctoral supervision with only one hour per week of clinical supervision. He filed a petition for a waiver of Rule 64B19-11.005, F.A.C., Supervised Experience Requirements, requesting the Board to accept his post-doctoral supervision as acceptable for licensure. The Board denied the petition, however, they approved the application with the condition that Dr. Fearrington obtain the additional 100 hours of supervision, which would include 52 hours of clinical supervision. Dr. Fearrington then requested a reconsideration of the Board decision and went before the April 22, 2016 General Business meeting. The Board denied the reconsideration. Dr. Fearrington has since submitted an appeal requesting the Board to review his application again.

Provided for the Board's review was Dr. Fearrington's request for a review as well as the application.

Following discussion, Dr. Catherine Drew moved to reconsider the notice of intent to approve with conditions. Dr. Randi Mackintosh seconded the motion. Dr. J. Drake Miller and Ms. Mary O'Brien opposed. The motion carried 4/2.

Following discussion, Dr. Catherine Drew moved to reconsider the motion to reconsider the notice of intent to approve with conditions. Ms. Mary O'Brien seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to deny the reconsideration of the notice of intent to approve with conditions. Ms. Mary O'Brien seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

### **APPLICANTS FROM APA ACCREDITED PROGRAMS FOR EXAMINATION AND LICENSURE AS NOTED**

**Tab 4.** List of Applicants

Following discussion, Ms. Mary O'Brien moved to approve the list of applicants for examination and licensure as noted. Dr. Andrew Rubin seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

*Tab 21 and 22 were taken out of order.*

## **ADDENDUM**

### **APPLICANT REQUESTS FOR EXTENSION PURSUANT TO §490.005(3)(b), F.S.**

**Tab 21.** Alina Perez

Dr. Perez was not present, nor represented by counsel.

Dr. Perez is requesting an extension on her Examination application. Passing scores of the EPPP exam and the Laws and Rules exam have not been completed.

# Minutes

---

Following discussion, Ms. Mary O'Brien moved to approve the request for an extension for an additional twelve (12) months. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

## **ADDENDUM**

**Tab 22.** Alexandra Victoria

Dr. Victoria was not present, nor represented by counsel.

Dr. Victoria is requesting an extension on her Examination application. Passing scores of the EPPP exam and the Laws and Rules exam have not been completed.

Following discussion, Dr. Randi Mackintosh moved to approve the request for an extension for an additional twelve (12) months. Dr. J. Drake Miller seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

## **FILE CLOSURE APPLICANTS FOR DENIAL PURSUANT TO §490.005(3)(b), F.S.**

**Tab 5.** List of Applicants

Dr. Alina Perez and Dr. Alexandra Victoria were both removed from the list due to the granting of extension. No action is required.

## **PETITION FOR DECLARATORY STATEMENT AND REVIEW OF APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE**

**Tab 6.** Nicole Whitt                      Section 490.003, F.S., Definitions  
Rule 64B19-11.005, Supervised Experience Requirements

Dr. Whitt was present and sworn in. She was not represented by counsel.

Dr. Whitt has applied for licensure under the Examination method. She has also submitted a petition for declaratory statement requesting clarification on whether she is permitted to complete reports on patient files from a mental health practice that is separate from where she completed her post-doctoral supervision.

Provided for the Board's review was the petition, application, transcripts and supervision forms.

Following discussion, Ms. Mary O'Brien moved to deny the petition for a declaratory statement based on it referencing past behavior. Dr. Randi Mackintosh seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

Following discussion, Dr. Andrew Rubin moved to approve the application. Dr. Catherine Drew seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

## **REVIEW OF APPLICATION AND SUPERVISION**

**Tab 7.** Lauren Mason

Dr. Mason was present and sworn in. She was not represented by counsel.

Dr. Mason's application was initially before the January 15, 2016 Credentials Committee. She submitted supervision forms indicating that she only received one hour per week of clinical supervision under Dr. Keith Hannan. The Committee denied the application due to her being deficient in providing

# Minutes

---

the sufficient number of post-doctoral clinical hours. Dr. Mason withdrew her application and reapplied for licensure. Her application and petition for variance or waiver of Rule 64B119-11.005, F.A.C. went before the March 18, 2016 Board Quorum Conference Call. The Board denied the petition, however, they approved the application with the condition that she obtain an additional thirty-two (32) hours of clinical supervision to meet the supervision rule requirement. Dr. Mason has since submitted a statement and new supervision forms from Dr. Hannan indicating that she had received the required hours of supervision.

Provided for the board's review was the application, transcripts and supervision forms.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller move to approve the application. Ms. Mary O'Brien seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

Following discussion, Dr. Andrew Rubin moved to vacate the Notice of Intent to Approve Licensure Contingent. Ms. Mary O'Brien seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

## **RULES REVIEW AND/OR DEVELOPMENT**

**Tab 8.** Rule 64B19-13.004, F.A.C., Board Approval of Continuing Psychological Education Providers

During the April 2016 meeting, the Board agreed to add the following language to this rule to specify requirements for medical errors course providers:

*"Many of the programs that have been developed to allow Florida health care practitioners to satisfy the course requirement on prevention of medical errors are exclusively geared for clinicians working within medical settings. This may be inadequate for psychologists, in terms of clinical relevance and applicability. Consequently, in order to be approved to offer the medical errors prevention course to psychologists, providers must develop course content that moves beyond that which is typically found in the medically-oriented programs (i.e., wrong site surgery). **In addition to including a study of root-cause analysis, error reduction and prevention, and patient safety, providers should discuss areas within mental health practice that carry the potential for "medical" errors. Examples would include improper diagnosis, failure to comply with mandatory abuse reporting laws, inadequate assessment of potential for violence (e.g., suicide, homicide), failure to detect medical conditions presenting as a psychological/psychiatric disorder.**"*

Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide and Dr. Andrew Rubin requested the following changes to the language:

***In addition to including a study of root-cause analysis, error reduction and prevention, and patient safety, providers should discuss areas within the psychology practice that carry the potential for "medical" errors. Examples would include improper diagnosis, failure to comply with mandatory abuse reporting laws, inadequate assessment of potential for violence (e.g., suicide, homicide), failure to consider medical conditions presenting as a psychological/psychiatric disorder.***

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to approve the revised language and open the rule for development. Dr. Randi Mackintosh seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

Following discussion, Dr. Andrew Rubin moved that the change in Rule 64B19-13.004, F.A.C. would not have a negative impact on small businesses and the change in the rule would not have an



# Minutes

economic impact on government or any entity in excess of \$200,000 within one year of the implementation of the rule. Dr. J. Drake Miller seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

## Tab 9. Rule 64B19-11.005, F.A.C., Supervised Experience Requirements

Review of this rule was before the newly formed Supervised Experience Review Committee held on June 24, 2016. The Committee discussed revisions to the rule in light of the fact that there have been approximately 15 petitions for variance/waiver filed with regard to this rule since January 2015. Rachel Clark has provided rule language for the Board's review.

Highlighted for discussion were the following provisions:

<p><b>1. Requirements for Post- Doctoral Supervision Completed in More Than One Location under More Than One Supervisor</b></p> <p><b>(2)(b)</b> A psychology resident or post-doctoral fellow may be supervised by more than one supervisor, at more than one location. If there is more than one supervisor, however, then one of the supervisors must be identified as the primary supervisor. The primary supervisor shall be the supervisor who enters into the agreement with the applicant for licensure, for supervision, and who integrates all of the applicant's supervisory experiences.</p> <p><b>(2)(c)</b> The post-doctoral training must be a cohesive and integrated training experience....</p> <p><b>(3)(h)</b> When there is more than one supervisor, pursuant to paragraph (2)(b) above, the primary supervisor shall provide the Board with a written statement describing the manner in which the training and supervision comprised a cohesive and integrated experience.</p>
<p><b>Common Issues:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The rule assumes most post-doctoral residents are planning in advance to complete the supervision in more than one location; however, the reality is that most affected applicants complete a portion of their residency in one setting and for a variety of reasons complete the hours in another setting, which puts them in the position of attempting to comply with this provision <i>after-the-fact</i>.</li><li>• Many applicants have difficulty having one of the supervisors agree to be designated as the primary supervisor after-the-fact. Preparing a cohesive and integrated experience letter under these circumstances is typically uncomfortable for the supervisor.</li></ul>
<p><b>2. Requirement for Completion of Two Hours of Clinical Supervision with One Hour of Individual Face-to-Face Supervision</b></p> <p><b>(2)(c)</b> The post-doctoral training must be a cohesive and integrated training experience <i>which includes the following criteria:</i></p> <p><b>3.</b> It includes an average of at least two (2) hours of clinical supervision each week, at least one (1) hour of which is individual face-to-face supervision.</p> <p><b>(3)</b> Supervisors' Responsibilities. The Board requires each primary supervisor to perform and to certify that the primary supervisor has:</p> <p><b>(d)</b> Provided two (2) hours of clinical supervision each week, one (1) hour of which was individual, face-to-face supervision....</p>
<p><b>Common Issues:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Most problems arise with persons who completed their post-doctoral experience in states with different requirements.</li><li>• Based on previous petitions filed, it appears that psychologists who have practiced successfully in other states have indicated compliance with this requirement after-the-fact is an unreasonable hardship.</li></ul>

# Minutes

- The rule is not clear to many applicants in terms of what might constitute the other (1) hour of supervision (e.g., group supervision, etc.). Some only indicate the one (1) hour of face-to-face, not understanding that other types of supervision might satisfy the additional clinical hour required.

The following is the proposed rule language:

## **64B19-11.005 Supervised Experience Requirements.**

The law requires 2 years or 4,000 hours of supervised experience for licensure. The Board recognizes that the applicant's internship satisfies 1 year or 2,000 of those hours. This rule concerns the remaining 1 year or 2,000 hours.

(1) Definitions. Within the context of this rule, the following definitions apply:

(a) "Association" or "in association with": the supervisory relationship between the supervisor and the psychological resident.

(b) "Psychology Resident or Post-Doctoral Fellow." A psychology resident or post-doctoral fellow is a person who has met Florida's educational requirements for licensure and intends from the outset of the supervised experience to meet that part of the supervised experience requirement for licensure which is not part of the person's internship.

(c) "Supervisor." A supervisor is either a licensed Florida psychologist in good standing with the Board, or a doctoral-level psychologist licensed in good standing in another state or United States territory, or Canada providing supervision for licensure in that state or territory. However, where the psychology resident or post-doctoral fellow is on active duty with the armed services of the United States, or employed full time by the United States as a civilian psychology resident or post-doctoral fellow to provide services to the armed services or to a veterans administration facility, the supervisor may be a doctoral-level psychologist licensed in good standing in any state or territory, regardless of where the supervision is conducted.

(d) All applicants for licensure shall use the title psychology resident or post-doctoral fellow until licensed as a psychologist.

(e) The psychology resident or post-doctoral fellow shall inform all service users of her or his supervised status and provide the name of the supervising psychologist. Consultation reports, and summaries shall be co-signed by the supervising psychologist. Progress notes may be co-signed at the discretion of the supervision psychologist.

(2) Requirements and Prohibitions. All applicants for licensure must complete at least 1 year or 2,000 hours of post doctoral experience under a supervisor whose supervision comports with subsection (3) of this rule.

(a) There may be no conflict of interest created by the supervisory association and no relationship may exist between the supervisor and the psychological resident except the supervisory association.

(b) A psychology resident or post-doctoral fellow may be supervised by more than one supervisor, at more than one location. If there is more than one supervisor, each supervisor must provide supervision in a manner that comports with subsection (3) of this rule however, then one of the supervisors must be identified as the primary supervisor. The primary supervisor shall be the supervisor who enters into the agreement with the applicant for licensure, for supervision, and who integrates all of the applicant's supervisory experiences.

(c) The post-doctoral training must include be a cohesive and integrated training experience which includes the following criteria:

1. It averages at least twenty (20) hours a week over no more than one hundred and four (104) weeks. Alternatively, it averages no more than forty (40) hours a week over no more than fifty two (52) weeks;

2. It requires at least 900 hours in activities related to direct client contact;

3. It includes an average of at least two (2) hours of clinical supervision each week, at least one (1) hour of which is individual face-to-face supervision.

(3) Supervisors' Responsibilities. The Board requires each ~~primary~~ supervisor to perform and to certify that the ~~primary~~ supervisor has:

(a) Entered into an agreement with the applicant for licensure, which details the applicant's obligations and remuneration as well as the supervisor's responsibilities to the applicant;

(b) Determined that the psychology resident or post-doctoral fellow was capable of providing competent and safe psychological service to that client;

(c) Maintained professional responsibility for the psychology resident or post-doctoral fellow's work;

~~(d) Provided two (2) hours of clinical supervision each week, one (1) hour of which was individual, face-to-face supervision;~~

(e) Prevailed in all professional disagreements with the psychology resident or post-doctoral fellow;

(f) Kept informed of all the services performed by the psychology resident or post-doctoral fellow;

(g) Advised the Board if the supervisor has received any complaints about the psychology resident or post-doctoral fellow or has any reason to suspect that the resident is less than fully ethical, professional, or qualified for licensure.

(h) When there is more than one supervisor, pursuant to paragraph (2)(b) above, each the primary supervisor shall provide the Board with a written statement describing the manner in which the training and supervision took place ~~comprised a cohesive and~~

# Minutes

---

integrated experience.

(4) Until licensure, an individual who completes post doctoral training residency may continue to practice under supervision so long as the individual does so in the manner prescribed by this rule and so long as the individual has applied for licensure and no final order of denial has been entered in the application case before the Board.

*Rulemaking Authority 490.004(4) FS. Law Implemented 490.005(1) FS. History–New 11-18-92, Amended 7-14-93, Formerly 21U-11.007, Amended 6-14-94, Formerly 61F13-11.007, Amended 1-7-96, Formerly 59AA-11.005, Amended 12-4-97, 8-5-01, 7-27-04, 3-4-10, 8-15-11, 9-24-13, \_\_\_\_\_.*

The Board discussed the following proposed revisions to the rule:

- Removing the reference to a cohesive and integrated training experience to (2)(c).
- Removing the requirement of a primary supervisor from (2)(b) and adding a statement indicating if there is more than one supervisor, the training must comply with subsection (3) of the rule. The post-doctoral resident and each supervisor must enter into an agreement for supervision and licensure.
- Revising (2)(c)(1) to indicate an average of twenty (20) hours per week (part-time) for two years or forty (40) hours per week (full time) for one year.
- Adding language to (2)(c)(3) clarifying clinical supervision to include individual supervision, group supervision, case presentations and to indicate that the supervisor must be present.
- Adding language to (2)(c)(2) clarifying that direct client contact should include individual and group therapy or in person assessment or testing as well as allowing for contact via electronic media.
- Eliminating (2)h) from the rule.
- Adding Canadian psychologists as acceptable supervisors to (1)(c).

The Board has requested the Supervised Experience Review Committee to review (2)(c) to determine if any provision can be made for individuals who have been licensed in good standing in another state for a certain period of time, such as ten (10) years or more.

Following discussion, Dr. J. Drake Miller moved to open the rule for development. Dr. Randi Mackintosh seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

This rule will be brought back before the August 25, 2016 Supervised Experience Review Committee for further discussion.

## REPORT OF ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL-Rachel Clark, Esquire

### Tab 10. Rule Status Report

- Rule 64B19-11.012 F.A.C., Application Forms

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date Rule Language Approved by Board	Date Sent to OFARR	Rule Development Published	Notice Published	Adopted	Effective
64B19-11.012	Application Forms	01/15/2016	01/28/2016	02/10/2016	02/16/16 (JAPC letter rec'd 03/14/2016; Response letter sent 03/21/2016	04/01/2016	04/21/2016

# Minutes

---

- Rule 64B19-11.010 F.A.C., Limited Licensure

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date Rule Language Approved by Board	Date Sent to OFARR	Rule Development Published	Notice Published	Adopted	Effective
64B19-11.010	Limited Licensure	04/22/2016	05/13/2016	05/23/2016	06/09/2016 (JAPC letter rec'd 06/21/2016. Response letter sent 06/27/2016		

- Rule 64B19-11.011 F.A.C., Provisional Licensure, Supervision of Provisional Licensees

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date Rule Language Approved by Board	Date Sent to OFARR	Rule Development Published	Notice Published	Adopted	Effective
64B19-11.011	Provisional License; Supervision of Provisional Licensees	04/22/2016	05/31/2016	05/23/2016	06/09/2016 (JAPC letter rec'd 06/21/2016. Response letter sent 06/27/2016		

- Rule 64B19-11.012 F.A.C., Application Forms

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date Rule Language Approved by Board	Date Sent to OFARR	Rule Development Published	Notice Published	Adopted	Effective
64B19-11.012	Application Forms	04/22/2016	05/13/2016	05/23/2016	06/09/2016 (JAPC letter rec'd 06/21/2016. Response letter sent 06/27/2016		

## REPORTS, IF ANY

**Tab 11.** Dr. Dean Aufderheide, Ph.D.  
 Other Board Members:  
 Dr. Andrew Rubin, Healthiest Weight Updates, if any

Informational Item

# Minutes

---

**Tab 12.** Executive Director

Report topics

- Cash Balance Reports

Informational Item

- Expenditures by Function Report

Informational Item

**Tab 13.** Credentials Committee Report

Dr. J. Drake Miller reported to the full Board the Credentials Committee's recommendation. The committee recommended approval of tab 4. Tab 2 was removed and will be placed on the September 9, Credentials Committee Conference Call. The Committee denied tabs 1 and 5 with the option to withdraw. Tab 1 withdrew their application. The Committee discussed the Phasing Out of APA Accreditation of Canadian Programs in tab 3 and have requested this discussion be placed on the October 7, 2016 General Business Meeting Agenda.

Ms. Mary O'Brien moved to ratify the recommendation of the committee. Dr. J. Drake Miller seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

**Tab 14.** April 22, 2016 General Business Meeting Minutes

Ms. Mary O'Brien moved to accept the minutes. Dr. Randi Mackintosh seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

**Tab 15.** June 17, 2016, Board Quorum Meeting Minutes

Ms. Mary O'Brien moved to accept the minutes. Dr. J. Drake Miller seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

**Tab 16.** June 24, 2016, Supervised Experience Review Committee Meeting Minutes

Dr. Andrew Rubin moved to accept the minutes. Dr. Randi Mackintosh seconded the motion, which carried 6/0.

**Tab 17.** Voluntary Relinquishment of License-David Gitlin

Dr. Gitlin was not present, nor represented by counsel. Dr. Michael Herkov with PRN was present and sworn in.

Dr. Gitlin was before the July 17, 2015 General Business Meeting for discipline. He has since submitted a request to voluntarily relinquish his license. This item is before the Board for informational purposes.

Provided for the Board's review was the written request to relinquish the license as well as the Final Order.

Dr. Herkov addressed the Board indicating that Dr. Gitlin's initial contact with PRN was in 2013. In February 2014, PRN received an email from Dr. Gitlin stating he was not interested in participating in the program and they have not had contact with him since.

# Minutes

---

Dr. Randi Mackintosh indicated she has had a previous professional relationship with Dr. Gitlin and recused herself from the discussion.

No action is required.

## **OTHER BUSINESS AND INFORMATION**

**Tab 18.** ASPPB Disciplinary Data Report

Informational Item

**Tab 19.** ASPPB Meeting Minutes

Informational Item

**Tab 20.** Psychology Staff Recognition

Informational Item

## **ADDENDUM**

**Tab 23.** ASPPB Job Task Analysis Survey

The Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) is conducting a job task analysis (JTA) for the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP). The JTA will be used to validate the examination to ensure that it assesses the knowledge (EPPP) and skills (EPPP Step 2) required at the point of licensure.

ASPPB would like to survey as many licensed/registered psychologists in the United States and Canada as possible to insure that the responses to this survey provide an accurate reflection of what psychologists do. When licensees receive our request, they will be randomly routed to one of two online surveys, developed by licensed/registered subject matter experts, assessing the knowledge base or skills required for the practice of psychology. Thus the questions on the survey will examine the knowledge or the skills needed to practice psychology. Responses will be gathered anonymously. It is anticipated that the survey will take approximately 30 minutes for respondents to complete. The survey will be open for response on July 11, 2016 and will close on August 31, 2016.

Informational Item.

The Board allowed for public comments and questions.

The meeting adjourned at 10:59 a.m.