BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY BOARD QUORUM MEETING BY TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL MARCH 23, 2012 Minutes

DIAL-IN NUMBER: 1-888-808-6959
PUBLIC CONFERENCE CODE*: 4246812343#

STAFF PRESENT

Allen Hall, Executive Director

Lisa N. Dillon, Regulatory Specialist II

Anna L. Hart King, Program Operations Administrator

- 1 Dr. Harry J. Reiff, Chair, called the Board Quorum meeting to order at approximately 8:05
- 2 a.m. Those present for all or part of the meeting, included the following:

3 MEMBERS PRESENT

4 Harry J. Reiff, Psy.D., Chair

- 5 Luis E. Orta, Ph.D., Vice-Chair
- 6 Dean Aufderheide, Ph.D.
- 7 Rafael Rivas-Vazquez, Psy.D.

8 **ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL**

9 Michael Flury, Esq.

10 REVIEW OF MINUTES

- 11 Tab 1. March 9, 2012 Credentials Committee Meeting minutes
- 12 Dr. Rafael Rivas-Vazquez moved to accept the minutes, as amended. Dr. Dean Aufderheide
- 13 seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- 14 APPLICANTS FROM APA ACCREDITED PROGRAMS FOR EXAMINATION AND
- 15 LICENSURE AS NOTED
- 16 Tab 2. List of Applicants
- 17 Dr. Luis Orta moved to ratify the list of applicants on the addendum list. Dr. Rafael Rivas-
- 18 Vazquez seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- 19 APPLICANT REQUESTS FOR EXTENSION PURSUANT TO §490.005(3)(b), F.S.
- 20 Tab 3. Espinola, Sebastian
- 21 Dr. Espinola was present on the conference call.
- 22 Following discussion, Dr. Rafael Rivas-Vazquez moved to grant the applicant an extension of
- 23 12 months to complete the requirements for licensure. Dr. Luis Orta seconded the motion,
- 24 which carried 4/0.

25 Tab 4. Hidalgo, Leonardo

- 1 Dr. Hidalgo was not present on the conference call.
- 2 Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to grant the applicant an extension of 12
- 3 months to complete the requirements for licensure. Dr. Rafael Rivas-Vazquez seconded the
- 4 motion, which carried 4/0.
- 5 Tab 5. Marin, Cecilia M
- 6 Dr. Marin was present on the conference call.
- 7 Following discussion, Dr. Luis Orta moved to grant the applicant an extension of 12 months to
- 8 complete the requirements for licensure. Dr. Rafael Rivas-Vazguez seconded the motion,
- 9 which carried 4/0.
- 10 FILE CLOSURE APPLICANTS FOR DENIAL PURSUANT TO §490.005(3)(b), F.S.
- 11 Tab 6. List of Applicants
- 12 The Board was not required to take action on this item, as the applicants scheduled for file
- 13 closure were granted extensions of time to complete the requirements for licensure.
- 14 PETITIONS FOR WAIVER OR VARIANCE
- 15 Tab 7. Demirjian, Erin Laura
- Dr. Demirjian filed a Petition for Waiver or Variance from Rule 64B19-11.0075, F.A.C.,
- 17 Application Closure After 24 Months, requesting an additional four (4) months to complete the
- 18 requirements for licensure. Dr. Demirjian's application was scheduled for closure as of January
- 19 1, 2012, at which time her previous extension expired.
- 20 Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to grant the petition for an additional four (4)
- 21 months from the filing date of the order granting the petition. Dr. Luis Orta seconded the
- 22 motion, which carried 4/0.
- 23 Tab 8. Johnson, Kenneth
- 24 Dr. Johnson filed a Petition for Variance from Rule 64B19-11.0075, F.A.C., Application Closure
- 25 After 24 Months, requesting an additional twelve (12) months to complete the requirements for
- licensure. Dr. Johnson's application was scheduled for closure as of January 1, 2012, at which
- time his previous extension expired.
- Following discussion, Dr. Rafael Rivas-Vazquez moved to grant the petition for an additional 12
- 29 months from the filing date of the order granting the petition. Dr. Luis Orta seconded the
- 30 motion, which carried 4/0.
- 31 Tab 9. Morgan, Mary Colleen
- 32 Dr. Morgan filed a Petition for Variance from Rule 64B19-11.005, F.A.C., Supervised
- 33 Experience Requirements, regarding the criteria for designation of a post-doctoral residency
- 34 supervisor as well as requirements that the supervisor must maintain professional responsibility
- and prevail in all professional disagreements with the applicant/resident.

- 1 Dr. Morgan's post-doctoral residency was obtained in a military setting. The Supervised
- 2 Experience Verification form submitted by Dr. Morgan reflects that the primary supervisor was a
- 3 Florida-licensed psychologist, but the secondary, on-premises supervisor was indicated to be an
- 4 individual licensed as a psychologist in another state. The primary supervisor indicated on the
- 5 applicant's verification form that he did not maintain professional responsibility nor prevail in all
- 6 disagreements with the applicant, but that those responsibilities fell to the secondary supervisor.
- 7 Following discussion, Dr. Rafael Rivas-Vazquez moved to grant the petition in light of Dr.
- 8 Morgan's status as an employee in a military hospital while pursuing the supervised experience
- 9 requirements for licensure, and, upon condition that the secondary supervisor, Dr. Passmore,
- 10 complete and submit a Supervised Experience Verification form for approval by board staff. Dr.
- 11 Dean Aufderheide seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

12 RECONSIDERATION OF CONTINUING EDUCATION PROVIDER APPLICATION

- 13 Tab 10. D & S Associates
- 14 "Personality Disorders"
- 15 Course No.: 20 40623
- 16 Dr. Patrick DeChello was present on the conference call.
- 17 During the January 2012 Continuing Education Committee meeting, the committee denied the
- 18 application for continuing education providership submitted by D&S Associates. The committee
- 19 also provided suggestions for modification to the course presented in the event the applicant
- 20 determined to request future reconsideration of the application.
- 21 Upon the applicant's request for reconsideration, the issues noted by the Committee were
- addressed and provided for the full Board's review.
- Following discussion, Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved to approve the application, pending receipt
- of additional updates noted. Dr. Luis Orta seconded the motion, which carried 4/0. Dr.
- 25 Aufderheide was delegated by the Board to review and approve the additional updates
- 26 requested.

27 RULES REVIEW AND/OR DEVELOPMENT

28 Tab 11. 64B19-12.009,F.A.C., Continuing Education Provider Fees

29

36

- 30 During the October 2011 meeting, Dr. Reiff inquired about the feasibility of reducing initial
- 31 licensure and renewal fees, based upon review of the most recent Profession-by-Profession
- 32 Revenue and Expenditure Projections prepared by the MQA budget analyst. During the January
- 33 2012 meeting, the Board discussed the proposal further.
- 34 The Board was provided with the following draft language specifically concerning the reduction
- of the continuing education application and renewal fees:

64B19-12.009 Continuing Education Provider Fees.

- 37 (1) The application fee and the renewal fee for Board approval of a continuing education provider 38 is \$250.00 \$500.00.
- 39 (2) The application or renewal fee shall be paid to the Department of Health by May 31 of every 40 even numbered year.
- 41 Specific Authority 490.0085(4) FS. Law Implemented 490.0085(4) FS. History–New 10-14-87, Amended 6-23-91, 10-28-
- 42 92, Formerly 21U-12.011, 61F13-12.011, Amended 1-7-96, Formerly 59AA-12.009, Amended 9-20-98, 8-8-01, 6-16-03,
- 40 even number 41 Specific Authorit 42 92, Formerly 210 43 Amended

- 1 Dr. Luis Orta moved to accept the proposed rule language as amended. Dr. Rafael Rivas-
- 2 Vazquez seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- 3 Dr. Rafael Rivas-Vazquez moved that there will be no adverse impact on small business, nor
- 4 direct or indirect increase in regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in Florida
- 5 within 1 year after the implementation of the rule. Dr. Dean Aufderheide seconded the motion,
- 6 which carried 4/0.
- 7 Dr. Rafael Rivas-Vazquez moved to submit the proposal to the Department of State, and the
- 8 Office of Fiscal Accountability and Regulatory Reform, and direct Board Counsel to proceed
- 9 with rule making whenever it is appropriate. Dr. Luis Orta seconded the motion, which carried
- 10 4/0.
- 11 Dr. Dean Aufderheide moved that ratification would not be required by the Legislature. Dr.
- 12 Rafael Rivas-Vazguez seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- 13 At this point in the meeting, the Chair allowed for Addendum Tab 15 to be taken out of order for
- 14 discussion.
- 15 **Tab 15. 64B19-13.005**, F.A.C., **Obligations of Continuing Psychological Education**16 **Providers.**
- 17 In light of the Board's consideration of reducing the continuing education provider renewal fee,
- the Board was also provided with proposed revisions to Rule 64B19-13.005, F.A.C., to remove
- 19 reference to the \$500 fee. In lieu of listing the fee, the proposed revision would incorporate, by
- reference, Rule 64B19-12.009, F.A.C., to eliminate the need for development on this rule each
- 21 time the fee is changed.
- 22 The Board was provided with the following draft language:
 - 64B19-13.005 Obligations of Continuing Psychological Education Providers.

24 [...]

23

25

26

27

28

29

(i) Maintain active status as a continuing psychological education provider by conducting at least one (1) program a year for psychologists, renewing provider status each biennium, and paying the biennial renewal fee required by Rule 64B19-12.009, F.A.C., of \$500 so that it is postmarked no later than the last date of the biennial renewal period;

[...]

- 30 Specific Authority 490.004(4), 490.0085(4) FS. Law Implemented 490.007(2), 490.0085(1), (3) FS. History–New 1-28-93, Formerly 31 21U-13.006, Amended 6-14-94, Formerly 61F13-13.006, Amended 1-7-96, Formerly 59AA-13.005, Amended 8-5-01, Amended ____.
- 32 Dr. Luis Orta moved to accept the proposed rule language as amended. Dr. Dean Aufderheide
- 33 seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.
- 34 Dr. Rafael Rivas-Vazquez moved that there will or will be no adverse impact on small business,
- 35 nor direct or indirect increase in regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in
- 36 Florida within 1 year after the implementation of the rule. Dr. Dean Aufderheide seconded the
- 37 motion, which carried 4/0.
- 38 Dr. Luis Orta moved to submit the proposal to the Department of State, and the Office of Fiscal
- 39 Accountability and Regulatory Reform, and direct Board Counsel to proceed with rule making
- 40 whenever it is appropriate. Dr. Harry Reiff seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

- 1 Dr. Rafael Rivas-Vazquez moved that ratification would not be required by the Legislature. Dr.
- 2 Luis Orta seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

3 DISCUSSION

4 Tab 12. Continuing Education Credit for Attainment of American Board of 5 **Professional Psychology (ABPP) Diplomate**

- 6 Rule 64B19-13.003(1)(f), F.A.C., provides that licensees who attain status as an ABPP
- 7 diplomate may be granted 37 hours of continuing education towards renewal for the biennium in
- 8 which the diplomate is obtained. The rule includes that the medical errors and domestic
- 9 violence requirements must also be met.
- 10 Upon inquiry from a psychologist licensee, staff sought clarification from the Board as to
- 11 whether the biennial continuing education requirement of three (3) hours in professional ethics
- 12 and Florida Statutes and rules affecting the practice of psychology, stated in Rule 64B19-
- 13 13.003(3)(a), F.S., must also be met by licensees claiming the diplomate credit.
- 14 Following discussion, the Board agreed that licensees claiming the diplomate credit are also
- 15 required to meet the professional ethics and laws and rules requirement for renewal.

16 PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE TOPICS

17 Tab 13. **Delegation of Professional Responsibilities Survey Update**

- 18 The Board was provided with a preliminary status report on the response to its survey regarding
- draft legislation, currently under development, to specify those professional responsibilities 19
- 20 which may or may not be delegated to individuals who do not hold a license under Chapter 456,
- 21 F.S., or are not employed as a psychology intern or resident.
- 22 The MQA Strategic Services Planning unit is managing the survey project on the Board's behalf
- 23 and reported that the current percentage of response from licensed psychologists was 4.23%.
- 24 The survey is accessible on the Board's website and a link to same is also provided on the
- 25 licensure renewal message board. Notice of the survey was provided to licensees through the
- 26 Florida Psychological Association (FPA) as well as a bulletin sent electronically to all licensees
- 27 with an e-mail address on record with the Department of Health. The Board was asked to
- determine whether it would like to close the survey, as scheduled, on April 2, 2012, or allow the 28
- 29 survey to remain open for an additional period of time.
- 30 Following discussion, the Board determined to allow the survey to remain open through July
- 31 2012, at which time the Board would determine whether sufficient response had been received.
- 32 Board staff was requested to provide, for the April 2012 meeting, an estimate on the cost of
- 33 producing and distributing a postcard flyer regarding the survey to all psychologist licensees.
- 34 MQA Strategic Planning Services staff, Ms. Jodi Johnson, will also provide information
- 35 regarding the standard percentage of response required for the survey results to be deemed
- 36 statistically significant.

37 Tab 14. Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) - National

38 **Register Joint Designation Project**

- 1 Dr. Harry Reiff provided information regarding this ASPPB project for the Board's review. The
- 2 ASPPB Board of Directors is requesting that all of its member jurisdictions respond to an
- 3 assessment survey regarding this project.
- 4 Following discussion, Dr. Reiff, who serves as the Board's liaison to the ASPPB, agreed to
- 5 complete the survey on the Board's behalf.
- 6 The meeting adjourned at 10:15 a.m.